LA CILICIE: ESPACES ET POUVOIRS LOCAUX (2^e millénaire av. J.-C. - 4^e siècle ap. J.-C.)

ACTES DE LA TABLE RONDE INTERNATIONALE D'ISTANBUL, 2-5 novembre 1999

KİLİKİA: MEKÂNLAR VE YEREL GÜÇLER (M.Ö. 2. binyıl - M.S. 4. yüzyıl)

ULUSLARARASI YUVARLAK MASA TOPLANTISI BİLDİRİLERİ İstanbul, 2-5 Kasım 1999

> édités par Éric JEAN, Ali M. DİNÇOL et Serra DURUGÖNÜL







INSTITUT FRANÇAIS D'ÉTUDES ANATOLIENNES GEORGES DUMÉZIL - ISTANBUL

DE BOCCARD Edition-Diffusion 11, rue de Médicis 75006-Paris

Photo de couverture : "Joueur de lyre" (2º moitié 8º siècle av. J.-C., Musée d'Adana, n° inv. 899). Photo A. Lemaire

Secrétaire aux publications : Aksel Tibet

Ce volume a été composé et imprimé par Zero Prodüksiyon Ltd. Aslanyatağı sok. 35/2, 80060 Cihangir-İstanbul/Turquie

Institut Français d'Etudes Anatoliennes - Georges Dumézil Nuru Ziya sok. 22, 80072 Beyoğlu-İstanbul/Turquie

© 2001, Institut Français d'Études Anatoliennes - Georges Dumézil, Istanbul

La loi du 11 mars 1957 n'autorisant, aux termes des alinéas 2 et 3 de l'article 41, d'une part, que les "copies ou reproductions strictement réservées à l'usage privée du copiste et non destinées à une utilisation collective" et, d'autre part, que les analyses et les courtes citations dans un but d'exemple et d'illustration, "toute représentation ou reproduction intégrale, ou partielle, faite sans le consentement de l'auteur ou des ses ayants droit ou ayants cause, est illicite" (alinéa 1^{er} de l'article 40).

Cette représentation ou reproduction, par quelque procédé que ce soit, constituerait donc une contrefaçon sanctionnée par les articles 425 et suivants du Code Pénal.

Remzi YAĞCI*

THE IMPORTANCE OF SOLI IN THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF CILICIA IN THE SECOND MILLENNIUM B.C.

For the first time this year an archaeological excavation was initiated at Soli from the 7th until the 1999. In the application to the Ministry of Culture in Turkey for the excavation one of the medians under consideration for the project was whether the famous artifacts taken from Soli to be the beginning of this century claimed to be from the Middle Bronze Age in a report entitled Depotfund" by von Luschan in 1902 and in a 1940 article entitled "Der Depotfund von Soli-Pompeiopolis" by K. Bittel published in Zeitschrift für Assyriologie¹ were really taken from Soli or not. The necessity for investigating under the current guiding principles of archaeology the articles in question in the project and to investigate the site to uncover Soli's archaeological past under the Hittites in the 2nd millennium B.C. constitutes two of the most important questions for this project. Accordingly, to limit the scope of the subject for this article we will examine these two questions with observations and comments concerning the name of Soli and its location**.

There are various meanings with corresponding 'onomastic' concepts implied in the translation of the name of Soli as a city in Cilicia.

- I. Terminology Soloikismos (L solecismus) with its derivative Soli (Gk Soloi) enters into western languages with the meaning of a structural language mistake--a misuse of the language. Thus, it originated as a grammatical term³.
 - II. Etymology Two opposing viewpoints are as follows:
- The name of Soli stems from the name of its founder Solon. Solon founded this city in Cilicia and brought Athenians to live there⁴.
- *) Assistant Professor, Mersin University, The Faculty of Science and Letters, The Department of Archaeology, 33342 Mersin.
- **) My thanks go to Prof. Veli Sevin and Prof. Ali Dincol for reading this article and providing much needed advice. The author takes responsability for any inaccuracies or omissions.
- 1) 1940 : 183-205.
 - 2) To read more on this discussion see Muscarella 1988: 396.
- 3) The fame of Soli rests with the people (soloikizein) who spoke a corrupt form of the language of the Athenians of that time who came with Solon. Özbayoğlu 1999: 209-210.
- ibid. on 210; esp. Diogenes Laertios (Solon 1,51) Soloi, a coastal town in the Anatolian region of Cilicia (Xenophon, Anabasis, 1. II, 24); another coastal city named 'Soli' is in Cyprus (Herodotus, V. 115).

2. Like Tarsus and Cyprus, Soli in meaning is numbered among the cities considered as an economic resource. W. Burkert in the book (1992) The Orientalizing Revolution puts forth evidence of two cities with the name Soloi (one in Cyprus and the other in Cilicia) both with the meaning "metal ingots". In both cases the conclusion is reached that like Tarsus (Tarsa-Tarzi) and Adana (Danunim, 'dn/Adanawa) the name Soli did not have continuity⁶ in the preceding classical ages⁷.

In recent years various articles concerning the geography of the Hittites assist us in these studies on the toponymy of the Cilician region by keeping before us the preceding periods in considering the past. In his article "The Annals of Hattusilis III"8, O. R. Gurney cites Forrer's Forschungen (1926) who suggests on page 31 the name "Sallusa" for the coastal city Soli. Gurney points out that Forlanini takes the cities Nahita, Sallusa, Sanhata, and Surimma as on the eastern border of the nation of Lukka under Hittite rule. In what is called the Bronze Tablet, Sanhata, Surimma, Saranduwa and Sallusa are coastal cities stretching to the east to Perge (Parha) and of these Sallusa is listed emphatically as on the border. But Forlanini differs in opinion from Forrer in likening Sallusa/Sallawassa with the classical city Sillyon, located on the east of Perge (Parha)¹⁰. Mellaart, however, while examining place names of the late Bronze Age that end with 'ss' and 'nd' notes that "Mt. Salluwanda" is in the vicinity of the Lamos River near Soli¹¹.

There is a possibility that Soli is located within the borders of the Kizzuwatna region in the geography of the Hittite period. Moreover, Goetze makes it clear that the Kizzuwatna region's western border was the Lamos River¹². It's also possible that in the neo-Babylonian period it was the border between the Pirindu (Ass. Hilakku) and the Hume (Ass. Que) regions¹³. The Lamos River is the natural border between Cilicia Trakheia (L Cilicia Aspera, rugged; mountainous) and Cilicia Pedias (L Cilicia Campestris, plain) in well-known classical records such as in Strabo's Geography¹⁴. Soli in

Solos = "metal ingots". In the same way, Cyprus-Chalkis = "bronze home"; Tarshish = "foundry". See Burkert 1992: 12, 39, 159 note 13.

⁶⁾ Mellink 1995: 187-188.

⁷⁾ As Strabo (64 B.C.- 20 A.D.) notes, the name Soli was first used by Hesiod. Özbayoğlu 1999: 210. According to Mela (1,71) Soli was a colony of the Rhodians and Argos. Strabo (XIV. V, 8), however, notes the Achaeans and Rhodians (from Lindos) as the founders of the city.

⁸⁾ Gurney 1997: 132 note 11.

⁹⁾ ibid. 137; Houwink ten Cate 1992: 256; for Kelenderis, in addition to Gurney, R. Beal, in his 1992 publication, submits Ura for Saranduwa.

¹⁰⁾ Forlanini 1998: 219. The assembling of the kings of Arzawa for a summit meeting of the powers-that-be was held at Sallawassa or at Apasa.

¹¹⁾ Mellaart 1958: 23 and plate I, map 3.

¹²⁾ Goetze 1940 : 1958 ; Houwink ten Cate 1992 : 252.

¹³⁾ Houwink ten Cate 1992: 252.

In Strabo (XIV.V.1 and XIV.V) Soli is designated as the border between two districts.

been gleaned from the archives of Ugarit, the Hittites suffered through a famine at the end of the Bronze Age. For the Hittites in the region of *Tarhuntassa*, which was of vital importance, the barbor town *Ura*¹⁶ west of the *Lamiya* (*Lamos*) River in the "*Hulaya* River Land" must of necessity be not far from *Soli*. Again, phonetically, Cornelius suggests the Greek city of *Holmoi* (Strabo *XIV. V. IV*) is paired with *Walma* which is located at the mouth of the river *Hulaya* (*Calycadnus*?) with *Zallara* and they are considered as coastal (border) cities close to *Soli*¹⁷. These cities were founded in places suitable for coastal trade during the Hittite period¹⁸.

In a treaty signed by the Hittite King Suppiluliuma I (1350-1320 B.C.) and the *Kizzuwatna* King Sunassura, it is clear that *Lamiya* belonged to the Hittite king whereas *Pitura* belonged to Sunassura. ¹⁹ The corresponding name for *Lamiya* is thought to be *Pitura* and must be a port city. In this case, *Pitura* is thought to be one of the settlements along the coast in the environs of Mersin (Yumuktepe?, Tömük?) ²⁰ or maybe even *Soli*? According to the order of geographical names that appear in writing, another suggested equivalent for *Soli* is *Ellipra*²¹. *Ellipra* is considered after *Kummanna*,

- 15) According to the Bronze Tablet found at "Sphinxtor" Boğazkoy (Hattusa), the border was written up in a treaty between the Hittite king Tudhaliyas IV and the *Tarhuntassa* king Kurunta (his cousin); the southwestern border of this kingdom stretched all the way in the south to classical Perge. The *Hatip* rock relief of Kurunta in the vicinity of Konya gives an idea about the northern borders of *Tarhuntassa*. It is possible that *Tarhuntassa* was in the environs of Karaman, the southernmost point of the Konya plain. During the Iron Age, Kelenderis, identified as the capital city of *Pirindu*, was assimilated into *Tarhuntassa*, close to Meydancikkale (Gülnar). Bryce 1974: 109; Beal 1992: 73; Gurney 1997: 135; Postgate 1998: 137.
- 16) Astour 1965: 255; It is understood from written records that there were ships which carried grain from Egypt and the Canaanite land since the time of Hattusilis III. And, again, grain was sent by the Ugarit merchnts from the Ugarit neighbor Mukiš to the Hittite harbor town Ura at the end of the 13th century B.C. Bryce 1998: 356, 364-365. It is debatable whether Ura is in the Silifke Calycadnus (Göksu) river valley or is Kelenderis. See Beal 1992: 53-65; "Hulaya River Land" seems to be the border of the Tarhuntassa district. Gurney 1992: 217; for a discussion see Bryce 1998: 252. Ünal suggests that Ura is possibly localized in Yumuktepe. Ünal 1999: 65
- 17) Cornelius 1958: 381; Cornelius 1979: 339; Gurney 1997:133-134 for a different perspective, associating the 'Hulaya River' with Beyşehir Çayı (cl. Caralis) or Çarsamba Suyu and placing Walma as a site inside the 'Hulaya River Land' near to Çay on its the N.W. For those who are of this opinion see Houwink ten Cate 1992: 252 ff.; Hawkins 1995: 56 note 199; Gurney 1997: 133, 136, 137.
 - 18) Gurney 1997: 134, 138.
- 19) "Toward the sea Lamiya belongs the Sun (and) Pitura belongs to Sunassura; They will measure out of the territory together and divide (it). The Sun will not fortify Lamiya. Aruna belongs to the Sun. Toward Pitura they will measure out the territory and divide (it) themselves. The Sun must not fortify Aruna." Goetze 1940: 51
- 20) In Forlanini 1988: 144; Desideri-Jasink 1990: 83; (KBo I 5 IV 40 and 43) the name URUpi-i-tu-ra KI is linked by Goetze and Garstang with Mersin; by Cornelius with Soli?; by Garstang & Gurney, however, with Yumuktepe. See del Monte & Tischler 1978: 320.
- 21) Forlanini and Freu put forward the hypothesis of the possibility of Soli being Ellipra at the International Round Table in Istanbul on Nov. 2-5, 1999 when this paper was presented under the name "Cilician Sites and Local Powers". In addition, see Forlanini 1988: 133-147 and del Monte 1992: 50. Laroche and Goetze associate Namrun (= Lampron/Namrun) in the northern regions of Mersin with URU el-li-ip-ra (= ass. Illubru). See Monte & Tischler 1978: 139. The name "URU el-li-ip-ra" appears in connection with Manuzziya "The Storm God' as a festival (KUB XX 52+KBo IX 123 I 26). According to information obtained from Prof. Dr. Ali Dinçol, Jip--ra appears in "KUB XX 52 and Sommer's Indogernamische Forschungen 55: 274 note 2 as a whole word Kikkipra. Later in Goetze (1940: 54-55) accepted this reasoning. But in the 1957 work KBo IX 123 along with KUB XX 52 the pronunciation of this place name "URU El-li-ip-ru" became definite. Because of this Zunnahara, Adaniya, Tarsa, Ellipra also in turn became clear.

Zunnahara, Adaniya, and Tarsa. In this case, the name of Soli in the Kizzuwatna period can only be determined as a result of archaeological excavations along with written documents.

The Hittite king Muwattali transferred the entire capital city to *Tarhuntassa* for military expeditions to Syria and in accordance with this, *Soli's* location was along the route taken by the army²². *Soli* must, like *Ura*, have been a depot for import-export trade of various metals (gold, silver, copper, etc.) or a harbor for Hittite trading ships which brought to *Soli* goods such as cloth, furniture, grains, horses, jewelry, and raw or manufactured materials which were either greatly damaged or no longer exist²³. In spite of no sufficient proof found in the excavations, *The Soli Treasure Trove* brings this to mind. As is well-known, in those times these goods were sent to ports (Karum) as a gift-exchange, tribute, or cargo²⁴.

The Hittites controlled the Cilician plain (*Kizzuwatna*) and northern Syria in the Age of Emperors (14th-13th century B.C.). During the reign of *Suppiluliuma* I (1345-1320 B.C.) close ties were established with the Ugarit commercial center of the eastern Mediterranean. The Ugarit ships unloaded at *Ura*. There is a strong possibility that *Ura*, like Kazanli²⁵ and along with *Soli*, is located within the region of coastal towns of Plain Cilicia because these settlements are located along the fixed trading routes of ships coming from Ugarit and had a well-organized trade. In the seventh level which has been uncovered at Yumuktepe (which is about 12 km from *Soli*) the fortifications resemble the defense system at Boğazköy²⁶ and show that it was, thusly, an outpost. In this case, Yumuktepe's commercial interests must be considered as a normal course to be as great as that of its military importance in the western region of Plain Clicia.²⁷ Yumuktepe, being the center of a triangle made up of *Soli* and Kazanlı on the coast as well as being inland on a more secure site, was easier to defend.

Among the 'prestigious' objects of in the near East in the 13th century B.C. were Mycenaean ceramics which were imported through Cilicia by Hittites²⁸. The Hittites²⁹ were said to have placed an economic and political embargo on this region and/or to have hindered the Mycenaeans from living in Cilicia. The Mycenaean ceramics during the phases of LH IIA-IIIC (15th - 12th century B.C.) in Cilicia

- 22) Bing 1968: 18; Bryce 1998: 253.
- 23) Cline 1994: 70.

- 25) For ideas concerning the placement of Ura see Beal 1992: 65-73; Hawkins 1995: 56, note 202.
- 26) Garstang 1953: 237; Gurney 1981.

²⁴⁾ Mining sources were rarely discussed in Hittite written documents. It has been ascertained from religious inscriptions that silver was mined from Kuzza, gold from uruPirindu(m)eya, and copper and bronze were brought from Alasiya and Mt. Taggata. Again in another Hittite inscription (of the epic variety) from uruUra, the established regional trading colony of the Hittites of the 13th century BC uruZallara traders were mentioned. These merchants stockpiled a great deal of bronze, copper and tin. These metals were brought in by the Ugarit and Alasia. Yakar 1976: 120; Zallara and Ura together are located in Lower Calycadnus. Gurney 1997: 134.

²⁷⁾ In Bing 1968: 24 it is claimed that these Hittite walls were erected (1500 B.C.) to protect them from attacks by the Hurrian people. This opinion aptly shows Mitanni King Šutarna's vassal Alalah King Idrimi's campaigns against various coastal towns on the Gulf of Iskenderun.

²⁸⁾ Cline 1994: 72-73; in addition, see Bing 1968: 20

²⁹⁾ It states in T. Özguç 1982 : 31 that the Maşahöyük (Mycenaean IIIB) and Fraktin (Mycenaean IIIC) cups were brought in by NW Syrian and Çukurova traders.

were found at Yumuktepe, Kazanlı, Tarsus, and in and around *Soli*. Finds at the surface level at *Soli* named "Hellado-Cilician" are examples of locally-produced products. The same kind of ceramics have been found in excavations at Yumuktepe (IV. Level), Kazanlı (25% of 33 pieces), and Tömük (4 pieces) mounds as those found at *Soli* 30. It is supposed that these two kinds of ceramics were used side-by-side after Mycenaean trade began in Cilicia. Mycenaean bowls were probably made in Cyprus. We don't know yet about a specific production center for local bowls³¹.

According to Astour, Mycenaean bowls were dispersed by Ugarit merchants and trading ships from Kaphtor to their own harbors and from there to all of Syria³². Cline states that Mycenaean traders followed a route clockwise or counter-clockwise around the Mediterranean sea-sailing to Egypt and Syria-Palestine, stopping in Cyprus and returning to the Aegean Sea³³.

In this case, the foundation of Cilician commercial activities was composed of land and sea trade under the Ugarit in the Hittite Period along with limited sea trade by the Mycenaeans in the Late Bronze Age. And included in these enterprises were grain and trading metals which were of vital importance to the Hittites. It is possible that goods associated with this type of international business will come to light in the 2nd millennium B.C. levels.

Turning to the archaeological literature of the early 1900's, *Soli* was a city of merit and activity in maritime trading dating from the second millennium B.C. (if the famous *Soli/Pompepolis Treasure Trove* in Berlin was really taken from there)³⁴. If the recently begun excavations result in unearthing archaeological data, this activity will once again need to be looked at, evaluated, and discussed in the following respects:

- 1) the importance of Soli as a port city in the eastern Mediterranean;
- the historical role, ethnically, in the relations between the Hittites-Luwians by reason of the fact that from among the finds in Berlin an inscribed stamp seal is one of the oldest examples of hieroglyphics³⁵;
- the place in the eastern Mediterranean trading routes of one of the Kizzuwatna ports which remain obscure;
- the translation for Soli as Sallusa or Ellipra in the Kizzuwatna city names which appear in written Hittite documents.

- 31) French 1974: 73; Mee 1978: 150.
- 32) Ugarit was a multi-national commercial center. Traders who came from Egypt, Assyria, Cyprus, and Cilicia continued doing business in this city. Ugarit did business with Phoenicia, Hattusas, Crete, and possibly Myceneae, Pylos, and Thebes. Astour 1965: 253.
 - 33) Cline 1994: 91 ff.; Yağcı 1999: 23.
 - 34) For a discussion on this see Muscarella 1988: 396.

³⁰⁾ These kind of examples of the earliest phase LH IIA were found in Kazanlı. French 1974: 73-74; Mee 1978: 131, 133, 145, 150. In about 25 Bronze Age mounds in Cilicia Mycenaean ceramics were recovered. This amount is more than one-third of the total number of the mounds and only four of them have been excavated: 1) Tarsus-Gözlükule 2) Mersin-Yumuktepe 3) Kazanlı? 4) Kilisetepe. Jean 1999: 31.

³⁵⁾ Goetze dates this stamp seal in accordance with its written characteristics as 1800 B.C. and possibly even earlier 'vielleicht noch alter'. Muscarella 1988: 396; Akurgal 1995: 21. In response to this, Przeworski dates this seal to the 14th-13th century B.C. Bittel, however, lends his weight behind Goetze's argument. Bittel 1940: 199 ff.

If the hoard of arms which are said to have been taken into possession as a whole at Soli is true, then who is the rightful owner of this treasure trove?

At the same time, doesn't it raise the question as to who the owner of the inscribed stamp seal with hieroglyphics was? Was this person who was doing business in the eastern Mediterranean a Luwian merchant?³⁶

The resemblance between the bronze weapons in the Soli treasures to those found in Ugarit, Byblos, Cyprus, Crete, Troy, and mainland Greece³⁷. Consequently, wherever these weapons were produced, for the purposes of Soli, being from among the Cilician ports, they were circulated as commercial goods in the beginning of the second millennium B.C. in a rather wide area between east and west.

Soli, especially from the standpoint of the concept of "power and location", in the context of extensive business contacts, is an important port city. Soli's traditional role as a port city might have been in existence since the Middle Bronze Age. We hope to find answers to these questions which have been touched upon in the excavations which were started this year (1999).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Akurgal, E., 1995: Hatti ve Hitit Uygarlıkları, İstanbul.

Astour, M. C., 1965: "New Evidence on the Last Days of Ugarit", American Journal of Archaeology 69: 253-258.

Beal, R., 1986: "The History of Kizzuwatna", Orientalia 55: 424-445.

- 1992: "The Location of Ura", Anatolian Studies XLII: 65-73.

Bing, J. D., 1968: A History of Cilicia During the Assyrian Period, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Bittel, K., 1940: "Der Depotfund von Soloi/Pompeiopolis", Zeitschrift für Assyriologie n.F. 12 (46): 183-205.

Bryce, T., 1974: "Some Geographical and Political Aspects of Mursilis' Arzawan Campaign", Anatolian Studies XXIV: 103-116.

- 1998: The Kingdom of Hittites, Oxford.

Burkert, W., 1992: The Orientalizing Revolution Near Eastern, Influence on Greek Culture in the Early Archaic Age, Cambridge, London.

³⁶⁾ We looked at Olba II: 19 to answer this question. Hittite hieroglyphics have been in evidence in Cilicia since the 1500's B.C. Pariyawatri's son, the Great King Isputahsu's seal was found in Beycesultan (with his contemporary Telipinu) and similar seals which are dated in the 2nd millennium B.C. "Hittite Hieroglyphics" or as in general parlance nowadays "Hieroglyphic Luwian" and this type of native writing is unmistakably SW Anatolian. McQueen 1986: 24-26; Beal 1986: 426-427; Desideri-Jasink: 1990: 60 ff.

³⁷⁾ Bittel 1940: 183-205; Bing 1968: 15; Muscarella claims conversely that it is possible that these weapons were brought from the Kızılırmak river basin.

Cline, E. H., 1994: Sailing the Wine-Dark Sea, International Trade and the Late Bronze Aegean, BAR International Series 591, Oxford.

Cornelius, F., 1958: "Geographie des Hethitherreiches", Orientalia: 225-251, 373-398.

1979 : Geschichte der Hethiter, Darmstadt.

Desideri, P., Jasink, A. M., 1990 : Cilicia. Dell'età di Kizzuwatna alla conquista macedone, Torino.

Forlanini, M., 1988: "La regione del Tauro nei testi hittiti", Vicino Oriente 7: 129-169

1998: "The Geography of Hittite Anatolia in the Light of the Recent Epigraphical Discoveries". III.
Uluslararası Hititoloji Kongresi Bildirileri (Çorum 16-22 Eylül), Ankara: 217-222.

French, E., 1975: "Reassessment of the Mycenaean Pottery at Tarsus", Anatolian Studies XXV: 53-75.

Garstang, J., 1953: Prehistoric Mersin, Oxford.

Goetze, A., 1940: Kizzuwatna and the Problem of Hittite Geography, New Haven.

Gurney, O. R., 1981: The Hittites, London.

 1992: "Hittite Geography: thirty years on", Hittite and Other Anatolian and Near Eastern Studies in Honour of Sedat Alp: 213-221, Ankara.

- 1997: "The Annals of Hattusilis III", Anatolian Studies XLVII: 127-139.

Houwink Ten Cate, P. H. J., 1992: "The Bronze Tablet of Tudhaliyas IV and its Geographical and Historical Relations", Zeitschrift für Assyriologie und Vorderasiatisch Archäologie 82: 233-270.

Jean, É., 1999: "The 'Greeks' in Cilicia at the end of the 2nd Millenum B.C.", Olba II: 27-39.

Mcqueen, J. G., 1986: The Hittites, London.

Mee, C., 1978: "The Aegean Trade and Settlement in Anatolia in Second Millenium B.C.", Anatolian Studies XXVIII: 121-156.

Mellaart, J., 1958: "The End of the Early Bronze Age in Anatolia and the Aegean", American Journal of Archaeology 62: 9-33.

Mellink, M. J., 1995: "Comments on Continuity and Discontinuity in South Anatolian Coastal Toponymy", Studio Hitoriae Ardens, Ancient Near Eastern Studies Presented to P.H. Houwink ten Cate on Occasion of his 65th Birthday: 187-193, İstanbul.

Muscarella, O., W., 1988: Bronze and Iron, New York.

Özbayoğlu, E., 1999; "Soli (Cilicia) ve "Soloecismus", Olha II: 209-219, Mersin.

Özgüç, T., 1982 : Maşat Höyük II, Ankara.

Unal, A., 1999 : Hititler-Etiler ve Anadolu Uygarlıkları, İstanbul.

Yağcı, R., 1999: "M. Ö. III. - II. Binde Kilikia'da Ticaret", Olba II: 17-26.

Yakar, J., 1976: "Hittite Involvement in Western Anatolia", Anatolian Studies XXVI: 117-128.